

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 24 2005

**BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP**

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW**

590 W. El Camino Real, Mountain View, CA 94040  
Telephone: (650) 961-8300 Facsimile: (650) 961-8301  
www.beyerlaw.com

**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

May 24, 2005

Receiver: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TEL #:

FAX #: (703) 872-9306

Sender: Susan W. Xu for Ramin Mahboubian

Our Ref. No.: SUNIP849

Re: Application No. 10/052,304

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): (03)

**MESSAGE:**

Sir:

Please deliver the attached Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form for the above referenced application to Examiner Khatri.

Please enter this in the file.

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Masood Seyed Mortazavi

Attorney Docket No.: SUN1P849/P7352

Application No.: 10/052,304

Examiner: KHATRI, ANIL

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

Filed: January 17, 2002

Group: 2124

**MAY 24 2005**Title: ONLINE UPGRADE OF CONTAINER-BASED SOFTWARE COMPONENTS

Confirmation No.: 8384

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**  
 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by  
 facsimile to fax number 703-872-9306 of the U.S. Patent and  
 Trademark Office on May 24, 2005.

Signed: Susan W. Xu

Susan W. Xu

**APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM**

Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Tentative Participants:**

1) R. Mahboubian 2)  
 3) 4)

Proposed Date of Interview: June 2, 2005Proposed Time: 2:00 PM (Eastern Time)**Type of Interview Requested:**

Telephone  Personal  Video Conference

Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If yes, provide brief description:

**ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) 102	Claims 1	<i>Sharma et al.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF AGRUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:**

14. (Currently Amended) A method of upgrading software in a object oriented computing environment; said method comprising:

loading an online upgrade module[;], said online upgrade module including a first container based software component, an online upgrade listener and an online upgrade specification[;], wherein said first container based software component being is an upgrade of a second container based software component which is capable of operating in said object oriented computing environment;

notifying an online-upgrade controller to initiate an online upgrade process;

performing by the online-upgrade controller a plurality of one or more operations to facilitate online upgrade of said second container based software component to said first container based software component, wherein said plurality of operations are performed in multiple stages and said plurality of operations comprise of:

loading one or more listener classes associated with said online upgrade listener,

instantiating said one or more listener associated with said on-line upgrade listener, and

performing one or more callbacks via said on-line upgrade listener.

Propose adding features of claim 24 which is not taught or suggested by SHARMA.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

\*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFT 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

---

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative)  
Signature)

---

(Examiner/SPE Signature)